

ATTENTION:

COMMITTEE CLERK (E83).

19/9/11

Dear Sir / Madam,

Please note my major concerns with regard to TAN 8, listed in order of occurrence:-

- 1) Disruption of rural road systems with drastic local business implications and subsequent loss of revenues.
- 2) Disruption of earth structures due to vast concrete bases needed for turbines and sub-stations leading to consequent flooding implications, particularly in the Severn Valley.
- 3) Desecration of untouched rural landscapes both visually and structurally.
- 4) Serious health issues from electromagnetic radiation, particularly those subject to X rays and C.T. scans already due to health issues.
- 5) Subsequent repair needs of roads and bridges due to excessive use and loads. (COSTS!)
- 6) Although not listed as a National Park, the areas concerned are the equivalent to Brecon Beacons and attracts visitors. Please consider the implications to the area if these visitors are no longer attracted.

apart from above, there are other issues that should be considered overall.

The complete lack of efficiency of wind farms

→

(onshore/inland) due to lack of wind consistency (25%), which would normally render such schemes unviable. Last winter (temperatures  $-17^{\circ}\text{C}$  at night) there was no wind for at least two weeks or more, when it was most needed!

Lack of true consultation with local residents in all localities affected.

Lack of full 'up front' implications by power companies (and W.A.C.) which hid the full implications of such schemes.

Questions regarding the overall effect of reducing  $\text{CO}_2$  by introducing these vast industrial schemes.

W.A.C. should not, under any circumstances, allow these decisions to be made by the U.K. Government who have little understanding of Wales as a whole. Otherwise why have a W.A.C.?

yours faithfully,

